Journal of Social Sciences (COES&RJ-JSS) ISSN (E): 2305-9249 ISSN (P): 2305-9494

Publisher: Centre of Excellence for Scientific & Research Journalism, COES&RJ LLC

Online Publication Date: 1st July 2017 Online Issue: Volume 6, Number 3, July 2017 https://doi.org/10.25255/jss.2017.6.3.532.547

The Extent of Applying the Balanced Scorecard in the Jordanian Banks, and its Effects on Performance

Dr. Lina Fuad Murwih Hussien Jerash University, Jerash, Jordan Dr. Baker Ahmad Aledwan Dr. Omar Mohammad Zreqat

The World Islamic Science & Education University, Amman, Jordan

Abstract:

This study aimed to identify the difference between the Jordanian banks in their use and application of the Balanced Scorecard measures represented by its four dimensions, and the effect of the use and application of these dimensions on measuring the performance of the these banks, and the effect of using these measures on the real performance of these banks measured by the return on assets (ROA) and the return on equity (ROE). A questionnaire was designed for this purpose.

The results indicated that the Jordanian banks used a set of financial and non-financial performance measures which are similar and consistent with the Balanced Scorecard dimensions. The most used dimensions were the financial dimensions, followed by the internal operations dimensions, then the learning and growth dimensions, and finally the dimensions related to receipt of the service. The results shows statistically significant differences in the use of every performance measurement, and the results indicated also that the banks which highly used the previous mentioned measures achieved a higher return on assets and a higher return on equity compared to other banks.

Keywords:

Balanced Score Card, performance measurement, Jordanian banks

Citation:

Hussain, Dr. Lina Fuad Murwith; Aledwan, Dr. Baker Ahmad; Zreqat, Dr. Omar Mohammad (2017); The Extent of Applying the Balanced Scorecard in the Jordanian Banks, and its Effects on Performance; Journal of Social Sciences (COES&RJ-JSS), Vol.6, No.3, pp:532-547; https://doi.org/10.25255/jss.2017.6.3.532.547.

This work is licensed under a **Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License**.

Introduction

The transition of companies from the industrial competition era to the informatics competition era led to the need of owning new capabilities to ensure success in the intense competitive environment that surround most of the companies, and it has become imperative for companies to find and use new managerial methods and tools for measuring the performance in order to continue their progress and growth and to maintain their competitive advantages, and not just relying on the traditional performance measurement systems, which are unable to provide the true picture of the company in order to achieve the required development and growth (Kaplan and Atkinson, 1997, p.367).

Recently, the new strategic direction of the companies needs to provide facilities for new information in order to be used for planning, strategic decision-making and integrated strategic review which contains the internal and external factors together, and to be expanded also to include strategic information which provides the companies with the ability to survive and compete in the future (Pearce, et. al., 2003). Such a need for performance metrics (measures) helps companies to achieve their strategic goals leading to the emergence of the Balanced Scorecard, which is considered as a comprehensive and integrated system for measuring strategic performance because it uses both the financial and the non-financial measures (Kaplan and Norton, 1993).

The Balanced Scorecard includes four basic dimensions which are considered as a pillar of performance for most companies, and these four basic dimensions consist of the financial dimension, the customer's dimension, the internal operations dimension, and the learning and growth dimension (Kaplan and Norton, 1992).

Given the importance of the banking sector in the Jordanian economy as a whole, and because of the significant changes witnessed by the banking business in recent years, it has become necessary for the Jordanian banks to follow a new and integrated advanced methods for performance evaluation that will give a comprehensive understanding of all the dimensions of activity that is practiced, so it can make these banks do their job efficiently and effectively, and be able to face the challenges that may be ridden, in order to continue their journey of growth and stability.

Hence this study aims to identify whether there are differences between Jordanian banks in terms of the application of performance measures contained in the Balanced Scorecard dimensions and the impact of this application on the financial performance, taking into consideration that there are no study, data or disclosures—which refer to the use and application of the integrated concept of Balanced Scorecard system by the Jordanian banks, and therefore, this study will test whether there are differences in the use of Jordanian banks of performance metrics similar to the performance measures contained in the Balanced Scorecard.

Previous studies

The Balanced Scorecard won the attention of many researchers and scholars. Kaplan and Norton (1992), in their pioneer study titled" The Balanced Scorecard - measures that drive performance", based on the opinion that says "what measure it you'll get it" (What you measure is what you get), where they found that performance measurement systems in any facility have a significant impact on the behavior of managers and employees, and they found also that the classical accounting measures such as ROI (Return on Investment)

and the EPS (Earning per-Share) gave misleading clues towards development and innovation, which prompted researchers to try to develop financial measures or to develop operational standards such as the life cycle.

However, the use of these financial or operating measures individually will not be easy for managers without a single frame to bring them together in an orderly, integrated and holistic manner, hence the Balanced Scorecard provides managers with information from several dimensions, and therefore the Balanced Scorecard will provide managers with concentrated information excluding any redundant information, and direct managers to the axes of success and the key of development in the company, taking into consideration the need to link these dimensions to the vision and strategy of the company so that the Balanced Scorecard will be the wave of the company and its engine towards achieving its vision and strategy.

A local study by (Bashtawi, 2001) aimed to measure the overall performance of the Bank of Jordan (case study) through using the Balanced Scorecard system, in order to accurately assess the efficiency of the performance of the bank. The study concluded with a recommendation for applying the Balanced Scorecard on the Bank of Jordan. A Norwegian study by (Stemsrudhagen, 2004), who conducted a field study on 83 Norwegian industrial companies aimed to determine the degree of the presence of the substance of the Balanced Scorecard performance measurement systems used by these Norwegian companies. The study also aimed to tell the difference in performance measurement systems between the companies that adopt the Balanced Scorecard comparing and the companies that are not adopting the Balanced Scorecard. The study concluded that the performance measures used to contain a large number of standards upon which the Balanced Scorecard is used, regardless of the adoption or non-adoption of the Balanced Scorecard for these companies.

Another local study by (Maswada, 2004) aimed to evaluate the performance of the Jordanian private universities in the light of the application of Balanced Scorecard system. The researcher designed a questionnaire to assess the performance of private universities on the basis of non-financial indicators relating to the axis of the service recipient (students) and the axis of the internal processes and the focus of learning and development. The study relied on the audited financial statements of the private universities of Jordan to assess their financial performance based on financial indicators and calculate percentages and averages of these measures proposed through a series of years stretching during the time period from 1998 to 2002. The study concluded a number of results, most notably that there is negativity and weakness between assessing private universities by financial indicators, and assessing these private universities by the non-financial indicators.

Anati, (2004) attempted to identify the methods used by the Jordanian construction companies in measuring and evaluating their performance and the comprehensiveness of these methods to all aspects of performance. The researcher tried to develop a model to measure and evaluate the performance of the construction companies of Jordan using the Balanced Scorecard. The study revealed that there is weakness of interest of these Jordanian construction companies in using the new management accounting systems despite the presence of support by the staff of these construction companies because of the lack of financial means or the unwillingness of the administration.

Hoque and James, (2000) aimed to measure the extent of the use and application of the Balanced Scorecard by Australian factories. The study organized a questionnaire regarding the four dimensions of the Balanced Scorecard. The results did not support the hypothesis that large companies are usually the most widely used for the Balanced Scorecard. Soderberg, (2006) developed a balanced scorecard model, depending on the characteristics of the proposed scorecard of Kaplan and Norton (Kaplan and Norton's Balanced Scorecard, 1992, 1996, 2001). The model was applied to a sample of Canadian companies that exceed the number of 51 employees. The study found that there are 110 companies (an increase of 73.8% of the sample) are classified as companies that use the Balanced Scorecard, and for companies that use the Balanced Scorecard (and the 110) were also classified into five levels according to their application for the Balanced Scorecard.

A recent local study by (Zuriekat and Al-Sharari, 2008) aimed to measure the extent of use of the Balanced scorecard to measure performance in the Jordanian commercial banks and insurance companies. The study examined the relationship between different business strategies and the results showed that the business strategy and competitive market influence the use of the Balanced Scorecard in the Jordanian commercial banks and insurance companies, and finally, the study recommended that other researchers can do more research to test the assumptions and the benefits of using the Balanced Scorecard. (Dergham and Abofedah, 2009) attempted to identify the impact of applying the balanced performance model through its four dimensions (financial, client, internal operations, and the growth and learning) in enhancing the financial performance of the strategic Palestinian national banks working in the Gaza Strip. The most important findings of the study mentioned that Palestinian banks possess a clear vision for the dimensions of the financial performance of strategic basis which can help to achieve the financial performance of the strategic location, in addition to the use of the Balanced Scorecard through its four dimensions together which can enhance the performance of strategic financial banks.

Marques (2012) study revealed that the use of strategic management tools like the balanced scorecard (BSC) can provide strategic direction and organizational development of the national business. Moghaddam (2012) study aimed at finding whether the balanced scorecard implementation affect financial performance transparency in companies registered in Tehran Stock Exchange. Findings indicated that implementation of balanced scorecard can have an influence on both company value and the transparency of financial performance. Luen, et. al. (2013) study purpose was to examine whether, by practicing strategic planning, the business performances of these SMEs can be resilient and sustainable over the long term. The results confirmed that there was a positive relationship between strategic planning and their business performances.

Kamal & Wadi'a (2014) conducted a study that investigated the impact of using the balanced scorecard in evaluating the banking financial performance and attempted to identify the effect of balanced performance in evaluating the financial performance of banks. The results revealed a direct relationship between the financial dimension and performance evaluation and a direct relationship between the customers' dimension and performance evaluation.

(Al-Dweik et al., 2014). The study aimed at examining the extent of using the Balanced Scorecard in cost reduction at the Jordanian commercial banks and attempting to improve the performance evaluation in banks. The results showed the weak application of the Balanced Scorecard by the commercial banks and indicated that those banks clearly ignore the financial dimension. Raed Ibrahim et al., (2016) conducted a study that aimed at identifying the use of the Palestinian companies listed in (PEX) of the four dimensions of (BSC) in performance evaluation. The results showed that: (1) The financial managers agree the financial perspective and the customer perspective in evaluating the performance of the companies listed in (PEX). (2) The listed Palestinian companies do not adopt the perspective of knowledge and growth of performance measurement indicators. (3) The listed Palestinian companies do not depend on the indicators of business process in performance measurement. The study recommends (PEX) to obligate the listed companies to use (BSC) as a tool for strategic planning and performance evaluation. The study of Oghuvwu and Omoye (2016) showed that the adoption of Balanced Scorecard as an adoption to measure performance depends on the size of the company, the attitudinal factors, the top management's support, the cultural of the organization and environmental factors. Consequently, based on current literature. The variance in the Balanced Scorecard adoption may be linked to several factors. This relationship can be subjected to empirical evidence in further studies.

Sahiti (2016). This study seeks to assess the effect of Balanced Scorecard in improving the performance and profitability of the applying companies. The results showed that the BSC contributed in improving the performance and profitability of the companies which adopted this model. The study of Gupta (2017) aimed at investigating the extent of applying the Balanced Scorecard in banking industry. Since the banking sector is a basic player in the financial and capital market in India and plays a main role in the economic development of the country, banks are connected to a wide range of activities and presents a variety of financial products and services to a large number of customers. The study indicated that banks have to focus on apply the Balanced Scorecard to survive the intense competition. The more sound the banking system is, the more healthy the economy will be.

Nisha (2017) examined how banks can use the BSC model for performance evaluation by focusing on the banking sector of Bangladesh. She found a positive correlation among the BSC perspectives. Findings of the study particularly highlighted that banks which have experienced improvements in their selected financial indicators like ROA, ROE, had evidently increased their efforts towards the characteristics of the learning and growth, internal business process and customer perspectives.

Hypotheses of the study

Based on the previous studies, the hypotheses of the study can be formulated as follows:

- 1. There are no statistically significant differences between the Jordanian banks in terms of the level applied for the financial dimension within the Balanced Scorecard.
- 2. There are no statistically significant differences between the Jordanian banks in terms of the level applied for the recipient of the service within the Balanced Scorecard.
- 3. There are no statistically significant differences between the Jordanian banks in terms of the level applied for the internal processes within the Balanced Scorecard.
- 4. There are no statistically significant differences between the Jordanian banks in terms of the level of application of learning and growth dimension within the Balanced Scorecard.

- 5. There are no differences in banks performance as measured by the rate of return on assets (ROA) due to the implementation of the concept and methodology of the Balanced Scorecard.
- 6. There are no differences in banks performance as measured by the rate of return on equity (ROE) due to the implementation of the concept and methodology of Balanced Scorecard.

Study Tool

A questionnaire was designed composed of different sets of question, which aim to collect data from Jordanian banks in order to compare their use of the different four aspects (dimensions) of the Balanced Score Card. The questionnaire included two types of questions. The first type of questions allows one answer only and is designed according to Likert scale quintet in order to collect the responses of the study sample, where they were given classes answers weights as follows: Strongly Agree = 5, 0×4 , I do not know = 3, Disagree = 2, and Strongly Disagree = 1. The aim of these questions is to measure the extent of the application of each bank of the study sample of the metrics dimensions of the Balanced Scorecard. The second type of questions was in the form of questions that allow several answers so that the responder can choose what suits them regardless of the number of answers he/she chooses, and these questions aim to reveal the standards used by the Jordanian banks in the measurement of their performance.

Population and sample of the study

The study population consisted of all Jordanian commercial banks licensed in the Central Bank which are thirteen banks except the capital bank of Jordan which apologized to fill the questionnaires. However, the total number of banks operating in Jordan is twenty-three banks, including fifteen Jordanian banks, two of them are Islamic banks and the remaining are commercial banks, in addition to eight foreign banks. The exception was the Islamic banks because of the specificity of their operations and the privacy of performance measures, as well as an exception of the licensed foreign banks operating in Jordan and braved the eight banks because the major departments and centers are located outside Jordan.

A number of 150 questionnaires were distributed to management personnel in the banks involved in the study sample. The total number of returned questionnaires was 119 questionnaires which equal to 79.3% which is considered relatively high in this type of study. Seven of these returned questionnaires were excluded because of their lack of suitability for analysis. Thus, the number of questionnaires that have entered for the identification and analysis were 112 questionnaires, which accounted for 74.7% of the total number of questionnaires distributed. In order to measure the performance of the banks included in the study sample, return on assets (ROA) and return on equity (ROE) were used as proxies to capture the performance. The data was collected from the income statements of all banks during the year (2015) hence; some banks don't announce their financial statements officially.

Validity and reliability of the study tool

The questionnaire of the study was tested and edited by a group of arbitrators specialists in the field of management accounting from the Jordanian universities in order to verify the authenticity of the study tool; some of the amendments were approved by the arbitrators in order to reach the high-resolution honesty, but for the purposes of checking the reliability of the tool, the study used the reliability coefficient (Cronbach Alpha) to identify the

extent of the internal consistency of the paragraphs of the questionnaire, which was equal to 87.45% of the entire paragraphs of resolution combined, and this value is considered acceptable and indicates the reliability and consistency of the study tool, as the value of alpha accepted statistically is 60% at least for the dissemination of results (Sekaran, 2003). **Statistical analysis results**

Most important measures used by commercial banks

In order to determine the most important measures used by banks when they use the balance scorecard dimensions, frequencies and percentages has been calculated for all dimensions and presented in the table (1) as follows:

Table (1): The most important measures used by the Banks when they use the balance scorecard dimensions

	BQ10 The most important financial performance measures	Frequency	Percentage	
	used by the Bank		(%)	
1	Net Profit	108	96.4	
2	Ratio of operating costs to revenues	59	52.7	
3	Return on assets	74	66.1	
4	Return on equity	111	99.1	
5	EPS	108	96.4	
6	Share market value	105	93.8	
7	Ratio of deposits to total assets	74	66.1	
8	Ratio of loans to total assets	63	56.3	
М	CQ16 the most important measures of service recipient satisfaction used by the Bank	Frequency	Percentage (%)	
1	Proportion of recipients of the service to the total number of new recipients of the service	74	66.1	
2	Turnover service recipients	64	57.1	
3	Proportion of service recipients who have left the bank to the total number of recipients of the service	65	58	
4	Design a questionnaire to measure satisfaction rate of service recipients	48	42.9	
5	The average time required to execute a transaction service recipients	61	54.5	
5 M		61 Frequency	54.5 Percentage	
	recipients			
	DQ16 most important measures of the performance of the		Percentage	
М	DQ16 most important measures of the performance of the internal processes used by the Bank repetition rate	Frequency	Percentage	
M 1	DQ16 most important measures of the performance of the internal processes used by the Bank repetition rate Total operations done automatically	Frequency 53	(%) 47.3	
M 1 2	DQ16 most important measures of the performance of the internal processes used by the Bank repetition rate Total operations done automatically The margin of error in the banking operations	Frequency 53	Percentage (%) 47.3 59.8	
M 1 2 3	DQ16 most important measures of the performance of the internal processes used by the Bank repetition rate Total operations done automatically The margin of error in the banking operations The proportion of bad debts	53 67 58	Percentage (%) 47.3 59.8 51.8	
M 1 2 3 4	DQ16 most important measures of the performance of the internal processes used by the Bank repetition rate Total operations done automatically The margin of error in the banking operations The proportion of bad debts The proportion of doubtful debts	53 67 58 53	Percentage	
M 1 2 3 4 5	DQ16 most important measures of the performance of the internal processes used by the Bank repetition rate Total operations done automatically The margin of error in the banking operations The proportion of bad debts The proportion of doubtful debts Stationery costs EQ18 most important performance metrics development	53 67 58 53 43	Percentage	
M 1 2 3 4 5 M	DQ16 most important measures of the performance of the internal processes used by the Bank repetition rate Total operations done automatically The margin of error in the banking operations The proportion of bad debts The proportion of doubtful debts Stationery costs EQ18 most important performance metrics development and learning that the Bank uses	53 67 58 53 43 Frequency	Percentage	
M 1 2 3 4 5 M	DQ16 most important measures of the performance of the internal processes used by the Bank repetition rate Total operations done automatically The margin of error in the banking operations The proportion of bad debts The proportion of doubtful debts Stationery costs EQ18 most important performance metrics development and learning that the Bank uses A questionnaire to measure employee satisfaction in the bank	53 67 58 53 43 Frequency	Percentage	

According to table (1) we can see that the most important financial performance measures used by the Jordanian banks in the measurement of financial performance as respondents considered is return on equity followed by net profit and EPS in the second rank, while the financial measure operating costs to revenues came in the last rank of importance. Also, from the same table we can see that the most important measures of the recipient satisfaction of the service (the customer) which are used by Jordanian banks is the proportion of recipients of the service to the number of new recipients of the service, followed by the total percentage of service recipients who have left the bank to the total number of recipients of the service and Design a questionnaire to measure satisfaction rate service recipients came in the last rank of importance.

Regarding the standards of the internal processes used by the Jordanian banks, we can see that the most important of these measures is the error rate in the banking operations, followed by the proportion of bad debt. Finally, it is observed from the table (1) that the most important performance metrics (measures) after learning and growth used by Jordanian banks is the number of training sessions, which are held annually and considered as one of the most important metrics used.

Descriptive statistics of the study variables

Table (2) shows the summary statistics for the variables of the study after they have been calculated. The table includes three main things which are; the frequencies for each category, the percentage of frequencies of each answer category, and the weighted average value of each variable, which was calculated by multiplying the percentage of frequencies for each answer category in weight class to the answer, and then summing the values for all categories.

The results showed that the answers of the respondents are concentrated in the "strongly agree" and "agree" significantly. Where the percentage of those who answered "strongly agree" and "agree" questions of the variables were as follows: first variable (financial dimension) accounted for 85.5 %, second variable (service recipients dimension) accounted for 60.33 %, third variable (internal processes dimension) accounted for 66.2%, and the last variable (learning and growth dimension) accounted for 60.3 %. Also, we can conclude from the value of the weighted average for each variable the level of application of each variable of Balanced Scorecard by the Jordanian banks. The financial dimension of the Balanced Scorecard came in the first rank, which amounted to 4.25, or 85%, reflecting the level of application. In the second rank comes the internal processes dimension with an average of 3.86 or the proportion of the application of 77.2 %. In the third rank comes learning and growing dimension at an average of 3.75 or 75%the level of the application. However, the concept of the recent recipient of the service comes last with an average ratio of 3.73 or application of 74.6 %.

These values reflected the existence of a clear conceptual framework in general for the Jordanian banks which is significantly associated with the four dimensions of the Balanced Scorecard, and it is clear that JCBs apply a large level of this method which accounted to 77.80%. However, it's noted that there are a differences between the degrees of implementation for all dimensions.

Table (2): Summary statistics for the variables of the study

Variable		Strong ly Agree	Agre e	Do not know	Disagr ee	Strongly Disagree	Total
Financial dimension repetitions	frequenci es	358	408	126	4	0	896
	Percentag e (%)	39.96	45.5 4	14	0.45	0	100
	Weighted Average	4.25	-	-	-	-	-
Service recipients dimension repetitions	frequenci es	317	629	504	118	0	1568
	Percentag e (%)	20.22	40.1 1	32.14	7.53	0	100
	Weighted Average	3.73	ı	-	-	-	-
Internal operations repetitions	frequenci es	352	686	488	42	0	1568
	Percentag e	22.45	43.7 5	31.12	2.68	0	100
	Weighted Average	3.86	-	-	-	-	-
Learning and growth dimension	frequenci es	274	807	707	4	0	1792
	Percentag e (%)	15.29	45.0 3	39.45	0.22	0	100
	Weighted Average	3.75	-	-	-	-	-
All variables	frequenci es	1594	3237	2057	186	0	7056
	Percentag e	22.59	45.8 8	29.15	2.38	0	100
	Weighted Average	3.89	-	-	-	-	-

Hypotheses testing

This section aims to identify whether if there is any significant differences between the Jordanian commercial banks in the degree of application of Balanced Score Card dimensions, and this will leads to test every dimension separately for the hypotheses (1-4). According to that, the sample study (12 banks) were divided into three categories, depending on the overall average for the application of the Balanced Score Card (which is calculated by calculating the overall average for all the questions in the questionnaire, were the answers ranges from Strongly Agree to Strongly Disagree) as it is shown in Table (3), where the top 4 banks classified to the category of " first ", followed by the category " second " of the four banks(medium), category " third " of the banks of the lowest average for the application of the balanced score card.

Table (3): Banks divided according to the application of the methodology for the Balanced Score Card

Category	Bank No.	Bank Name	Application Average
	4	Bank of Jordan	4.49
	10	ABC banking Jordan	4.25
First	1	Arab Bank	4.09
	5	The Housing Bank for Trade and Finance	4.06
	6	JKB	3.90
	12	SGBJ	3.89
Second	9	Investment bank	3.79
	7	Arab Jordan Investment Bank	3.79
	2	Jordan Ahli Bank	3.68
Third	11	Union Bank	3.61
THIFU	8	Jordan Commercial Bank	3.53
	3	Cairo Amman Bank	3.38

Descriptive statistics for all balanced scorecard dimensions by categories

The following table (4) shows the descriptive statistics for the application level of all dimensions of balanced scorecard by categories of banks.

Table (4): Descriptive statistics for balanced scorecard dimensions by category

Dimension	Category	Observations	Average	Standard	Min	Max
	No.			Deviation		
	First	41	4.506	0.366	3.50	5.00
Einensiel	Second	36	4.155	0.470	3.50	4.88
Financial	Third	35	4.054	0.324	3.50	4.50
	Total	112	4.252	0.435	3.50	5.00
	First	41	4.134	0.580	2.93	4.79
Service	Second	36	3.716	0.596	2.93	4.64
Recipient	Third	35	3.272	0.414	2.93	4.43
	Total	112	3.730	0.642	2.93	4.79
	First	41	4.226	0.590	3.07	4.93
Internal	Second	36	3.842	0.590	3.00	4.64
Processes	Third	35	3.445	0.480	3.00	4.64
	Total	112	3.858	0.640	3.00	4.93
Laguning	First	41	4.129	0.608	3.06	5.00
Learning	Second	36	3.651	0.422	3.00	4.56
and Crowth	Third	35	3.421	0.412	3.06	4.56
Growth	Total	112	3.754	0.576	3.00	5.00

According to table (4) the financial dimension of balanced scorecard shows that, the first category banks achieved the highest level with an average of 4.51, while the average of the second category banks was 4.16, and the average of third category banks was 3.06, with total average for the three categories 4.252. Also, we can notice that the first category banks in the service recipients dimension achieved the highest level of application for the recipients of the service dimension with an average of 4.13, while the average was 3.72 for the second banks category and the third category banks got the average of 3.27, with total average for the three categories 3.730. The descriptive statistics shows that, the first category banks in internal operations dimension achieved the highest level of application with an average of 4.23, while the second category banks has an average of 3.84, and the third category banks was 3.45, with total average for the three categories 3.858. Lastly, the descriptive statistics shows that, the first category banks achieved the highest level of application of the learning and growth dimension with an average of 4.13, while the second category banks average was 3.65, and finally with an average of 3.42 for the third category banks, with total average 3.754 for the three categories.

Results of Hypotheses Testing

In order to test the hypotheses number (1-4) ANOVA test was used to find if there are any significant differences between the Jordanian commercial banks in the degree of application of Balanced Scorecard dimensions, the following table (5) shows the results:

Table (5): results of ANOVA test for differences between the Jordanian commercial banks in the degree of application of Balanced Scorecard dimensions

banks in the degree of application of Balanced Scorecard dimensions								
Dimension	Source of Variance	Sum of squares	Degrees of freedom	Mean square	F	Sig. f		
E	Between groups	4.350	2	2.175				
First Hypothesis Financial	Within the groups	16.635	109	0.153	14.251	0.000		
	Total	20.984	111					
Second	Between groups	14.020	2	7.010	24.073	0.000		
Hypothesis Service Recipient	Within the groups	31.740	109	0.291				
	Total	45.760	111		1			
Third	Between groups	11.516	2	5.758	18.488	0.000		
Hypothesis Internal Processes	Within the groups	33.948	109	0.311				
	Total	45.464	111					
Fourth Hypothesis	Between groups	10.028	2	5.014				
Hypothesis Learning and Growth	Within the groups	26.805	109	0.246	20.388	0.000		
Growth	Total	36.833	111					

According to table (5) we can present the results of testing hypotheses (1-4) as follows: H01: There are no statistically significant differences between the Jordanian commercial banks in terms of the level of implementation of the financial dimension within the Balanced Scorecard.

To test the hypothesis, one way ANOVA has been used, and depending on F value (14.251) and its significance (0.000) which is less than the significance level (5%), we reject the null hypothesis and accept the alternative one which states: there are statistically significant differences between the Jordanian commercial banks in terms of the level of implementation of the financial dimension within the Balanced Scorecard.

H02: There are no statistically significant differences between the Jordanian commercial banks in terms of the level of implementation of the Service Recipient dimension within the Balanced Score Card.

To test the hypothesis, one way ANOVA has been used and depending on F value (24.073) and its significance (0.000) which is less than the significance level (5%), we reject the null hypothesis and accept the alternative one which states: there are statistically significant differences between the Jordanian commercial banks in terms of the level of implementation of the Service Recipient dimension within the Balanced Scorecard.

H03: There are no statistically significant differences between the Jordanian commercial banks in terms of the level of implementation of the Internal Processes dimension within the Balanced Scorecard.

To test the hypothesis, one way ANOVA has been used and depending on F value (18.488) and its significance (0.000) which is less than the significance level (5%), we reject the null hypothesis and accept the alternative one which states: there are statistically significant differences between the Jordanian commercial banks in terms of the level of implementation of the Internal Processes dimension within the Balanced Scorecard.

H04: There are no statistically significant differences between the Jordanian commercial banks in terms of the level of implementation of the Learning and Growth dimension within the Balanced Scorecard.

To test the hypothesis, one way ANOVA has been used and depending on F value (20.388) and its significance (0.000) which is less than the significance level (5%), we reject the null hypothesis and accept the alternative one which state: there are statistically significant differences between the Jordanian commercial banks in terms of the level of implementation of the Learning and Growth dimension within the Balanced Scorecard.

Test of the differences between the performance of Jordanian banks depending on the standards used to the dimensions of the Balanced Scorecard

This section aims to identify whether there is any significant difference between the performance of Jordanian banks as a result of the actual implementing of the Balanced Scorecard dimensions, which means, does the bank which applies a higher degree of Balanced Scorecard standards will have a better performance or not? In order to conduct the test proxies of performance (ROA and ROE) will be calculated for the three categories of JCBs during the study period (2010 - 2015). Table (6) shows the average of ROA and ROE for the three categories of the study sample during the period (2010 - 2015).

Table (6): Descriptive Statistics of Measure Performance of Banks Categories

Performance Measure	Category No.	Observations	Average	Standard Deviation	Min	Max
	First	24	1.41	0.34	0.60	2.05
DO A	Second	24	1.38	0.32	0.83	1.93
ROA	Third	24	1.07	0.57	- 0.17	1.89
	Total	72	1.29	0.41	0.42	1.96
	First	24	9.90	2.83	3.83	14.78
DOE	Second	24	9.17	4.74	-1.45	16.87
ROE	Third	24	8.88	2.20	4.49	12.39
	Total	72	9.32	3.26	2.29	14.68

From table (6), it can be noted that the first category banks achieved the highest rate of return on assets (ROA) with an average of 1.41 %, while the average was equal to 1.38% for second category banks, and finally an average of 1.07% for the third category banks. Also, it can be noted that the first category banks achieved the highest rate of return on equity (ROE) with an average of 9.90 %, while the average was equal to 9.17% for second category banks, and finally an average of 8.88% for the third category banks.

In order to test the hypotheses number (5and6) ANOVA test was used to find if there are any significant differences in banks performance which is measured by ROA and ROE depending on the implementation of the concept and methodology of the Balanced Scorecard, the following table (7) shows the results for both hypotheses:

Table (7): results of ANOVA test for differences in JCBs performance depending on the

implementation of the Balanced Scorecard

Performance Measure	Source of Variance	Sum of squares	Degrees of freedom	Mean square	F	Sig. f
E'CI	Between groups	1.748	2	0.874		
Fifth Hypothesis ROA	Within the groups	12.372	69	0.179	4.875	0.010
	Total	14.120	71			
Sixth	Between groups	14.020	2	6.681	0.567	0.570
Hypothesis ROE	Within the groups	31.740	69	11.781		
	Total	45.760	71			

Now according to table (7) we can present the results of testing hypotheses (5 and 6) as follows:

H05: There are no significant differences in JCBs performance as measured by the rate of return on assets (ROA) due to the implementation of the Balanced Scorecard dimensions.

To test the hypothesis, one way ANOVA has been used and depending on F value (4.875) and its significance (0.010) which is less than the significance level (5%), we reject the null hypothesis and accept the alternative one which states: there are significant

differences in JCBs performance as measured by return on assets (ROA) due to the implementation of the Balanced Scorecard dimensions.

H06: There are no significant differences in JCBs performance as measured by the rate of return on equity (ROE) due to the implementation of the Balanced Scorecard dimensions.

To test the hypothesis, one way ANOVA has been used and depending on F value (0.567) and its significance (0.570) which is greater than the significant level (5%), we accept the null hypothesis which states: there are no significant differences in JCBs performance as measured by return on equity(ROA) due to the implementation of the Balanced Scorecard dimensions.

Results and recommendations

Based on the previous statistical analysis, the results can be stated as follows:

- 1. The Jordanian banks do not apply the integrated concept of the Balanced Scorecard, but apply a similar performance measures to the Balanced Scorecard dimensions.
- 2. The Jordanian banks apply the financial dimension concept at weighted average of 85%, followed by internal operations dimension with a weighted average of 77.2 %, followed by the learning and growing dimension with 75 % as weighted average, finally the service recipient (customer) dimension with a weighted average of application equal to 74.6 %.
- 3. There are statistically significant differences between the degree of use of Jordanian banks of the financial dimension of the Balanced Scorecard, and the first category banks achieved the highest level of application of the financial Balanced Scorecard equal to 4.51, while the average for the second category banks was 4.16, and was equal to 3.06 for the third category banks. The differences were important between the degree of application of the Jordanian banks of the financial dimension of the Balanced Scorecard, and these differences are also present when testing the extent of the differences between the levels of use of Jordanian banks to standards of the customer (service recipient) dimension, and the first category banks was the highest in its level of application for the service recipients dimension with an average of 4.13, while the average was 3.72 for the second category banks and was equal to 3.27 for the third category banks.
- 4. There are significant differences between the three groups of banks in terms of the level of application for the measurements of dimensions of the internal operations and the learning and growth dimensions, and the application level was not similar for the three categories of banks.
- 5. The results indicated that the banks that use the measures of the dimensions of the Balanced Scorecard highly which were the first category banks, which achieved the highest return on assets with an average of 1.41%, while the average for the second category banks was 1.38%, and finally the average for the third category banks was equal to 1.07%, and that means that the application of the methodology has a significant impact in improving the performance indicators of these banks. There were significant differences in the performance of JCBs performance measured by ROA within the three banks categories due to the implementation of Balanced Scorecard dimensions.
- 6. The results also indicated that there are no significant differences in the performance of JCBs performance measured by ROE within the three banks categories due to the implementation of Balanced Scorecard dimensions. The first category banks achieved the highest average for the return on equity which was equal to 9.90%, while the second category banks had the average of 9.17% for the return on equity, and finally, the third category banks had the average of 8.88% for the return on equity.

Based on the previous findings, the study recommended the Jordanian banks to apply the integrated concept of Balanced Scorecard in order to achieve the strategic management goals and maintain the actual performance and strength. The study also recommended the JCBs to develop suitable legislations to make the Jordanian banks use the integrated concept of the Balanced Scorecard.

References

- Anati, R.,2004, Building a model for measuring and evaluating the performance of the Jordanian contracting companies using the Balanced Scorecard, <u>unpublished dissertation</u>, Amman Arab university of graduate studies.
- Bishtawi, S, 2001, Performance evaluation based on BSC system using integration between costing system and management based on activities-a case study for one of the Jordanian banks, <u>unpublished dissertation</u>, Al-Mustansarya university.
- Durgham, M, and Abu-Fidha, M., 2009, The effect of applying the balanced performance concept (BSC) To strengthen the strategic financial performance of the national Palestinian banks working in Gaza Strip, Islamic university journal (humanities studies periodicals, Vol.17, No.2, PP. 741-788.
- Fitzgerald, L., Johnston, R., Brignall, TJ., Silvestro, R. and Voss, C., (1991), <u>Performance Measures in Service Business</u>, CIMA Publication, London.
- Gregory, M.J., (1993), Integrated Performance Measurement: a Review of Current Practice and Emerging Trends, <u>International Journal of Production Economics</u>, Vol. 30-31., PP. 281-296.
- Hoque, Z. and W. James, 2000, Linking the balanced scorecard measures to size and market factors: Impact on organizational performance, <u>Journal of Management Accounting Research</u>, Vol.12, No.1, pp. 1-17.
- Johnson, H. and Kaplan ,R., (1987), Relevance Lost-the Rise and Fall of Management Accounting , <u>Harvard Business School Press</u>, Boston, MA.
- Kaplan, Robert S., and Atkinson, Anthony A., (1997), <u>Advanced Management Accounting</u>, Third Edition, Prentice Hall, pp. 367.
- Kaplan, Robert S., and Norton, David P., (1992), The Balanced Scorecard-Measures That Drives Performance, <u>Harvard Business Review</u>, Vol. 70, Issue 1, pp. 71-79.
- Kaplan, Robert S., and Norton, David P., (1993), Putting The Balanced Scorecard To Work, <u>Harvard Business Review</u>, Vol. 71, Issue 5, pp. 134-140.
- Luen, W.K., Yong, K.T. and Fook, O.S. (2013). Strategic Planning and Business Performance: A Study of SMEs in Malaysia. *Proceedings of 3rd Asia-Pacific Business Research Conference*, 25 26 February 2013. Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia.
- Marques, M.C. (2012). Strategic Management and Balanced Scorecard: The Particular Case of Small and Medium Enterprises (SMEs) In Portugal. *Business and Management Review*, 2(1): 50-62. http://www.businessjournalz.org/bmr.
- Maswada, S., 2004, Improving a model for evaluating the performance of the Jordanian private universities in the light of application of the Balanced Scoredcard system, unpublished dissertation, Amman Arab university of graduate studies.
- Moghaddam, T. (2012). The Role of Balanced Scorecard Implementation on Financial Performance Transparency. *Life Science Journal*, 9(4): 3963-3970. http://www.lifesciencesite.com.
- Pearce 11, et. Al., (2003) <u>Strategic Management</u> . 8th edition, New york: McGraw-Hill Iwin

Journal of Social Sciences (COES&RJ-JSS), 6(3), pp. 532-547

- Sekaran, Uma, (2003), <u>Research Methods for Business: A Skill-Building Approach</u>, 4th edition, John Wiley and Sons Inc., New York, p 288.
- Soderberg, M., (2006), The Balanced Scorecard: Structure and Use in Canadian Companies, <u>A Thesis Submitted to the College of Graduate Studies and Research</u>, University of Saskatchewan.
- Stemsrudhagen, J.I., (2004). The Structure of Balanced Scorecards: Empirical Evidence from Norwegian Manufacturing Industry in Performance Measurement and Management Control: Superior Organizational Performance, <u>Studies in Managerial and Financial Accounting</u>, Vol.14, edited by Epstein, M.J., and J. Manzoni. Oxford, UK. Elsevier, pp.303-321.
- Zuriekat, M., and Al-Sharari, M., 2008, The Relationship between Business Strategy, Market Competition, and the Use of The Balanced Scorecard: An Application on Jordanian Commercial Banks & Insurance Companies, <u>Jordan Journal of Business</u> <u>Administration</u>, Vol. 4 No. 2. PP. 245.

Other references:

- Amman Security Exchange, the Jordanian public companies guide, number of years.
- Jordanian banks Council, Annual Report, number of reports.