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Abstract:
The aim of this paper is to investigate the relationship between organizational change and job satisfaction in telecommunication companies in Jordan. A convenience sample was selected from among employees working at three communication companies (3636 employees) in Jordan. The findings indicate a significant positive relationship between organizational change and job satisfaction. To increase employees’ job satisfaction, their level of job stress during organization change operations in telecommunication companies must be decreased.
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1. Introduction
Recently, in the dynamic business cycle and competitive environment, organizations have begun searching for new opportunities to achieve a competitive advantage in order to maintain sustainability in the worldwide market. Job satisfaction has become a key component of successful organizations (Abuhashesh, et al., 2019a). Job satisfaction has been studied widely in previous literature and several specialists, managers, and researchers believe that it plays a vital role in employee productivity and retention rate. Job satisfaction can be affected by many factors, such as promotion, wages, benefits, working conditions, leadership, social relationships, and the work itself (Parvin & Kabir, 2011). Currently, organizations must change constantly because development and growth occur through changes (Karajeh & Maqableh, 2014; Maqableh, et al., 2015; Petrou, et al., 2018). Moreover, it is important to enhance the effectiveness and efficiency of organizations (Broni, 2017; Caves, 2018). Studies have shown that an understanding of employees' psychological template can affect organizational change (Shah, et al., 2017). The psychology of employees is an important subject to investigate during organizational change. Change can sometimes threaten employees, as it leads to modification of positions and tasks (Oreg, 2006). Employees react passively, aggressively, or honestly during organizational change (Castillo, Fernandez, & Sallan, 2018). Nevertheless, successful organizational change requires employee engagement and involvement (Petrou et al., 2018; Van den Heuvel, et al., 2010). Such changes require the efforts of managers in order to promote positive employee behavior for achieving the organization's goals and objectives (Al-Dmour, et al., 2015; Petrou, et al., 2017). Organizations require leadership and self-motivation of decision-makers in order to utilize talented employees and assess their skills through continuous training and education programs during the change process (Caves, 2018). Organizational change is needed frequently in organizations to update technology and deal with the demands of the competitive market (Shah et al., 2017).

Accordingly, this paper investigates the relationship between organizational change and job satisfaction in telecommunication companies in Jordan.
2. Literature Review
This study summarizes the relevant literature and examines the relationship between the organizational change and job satisfaction, with the purpose of developing a theoretical framework and hypothesis for the research:

2.1 Organizational Change
The main purpose of organizational changes is to improve the mission and vision in order to adapt to global changes (Castillo et al., 2018). Organizations struggle to develop and apply different kinds of changes to respond to the rapid developments of the external environment (caused due to technological, social, economic, and political forces). Thus, organizations must react effectively to these challenges and take advantage of the opportunities (Masa’deh, 2012; Masa’deh, et al., 2015; Heckelman, 2017). Organizational change is also related to high expectations of improving performance (Schneider, et al., 1996). Changes come in different forms; whereas some changes impact the organization as a whole, others only affect certain departments, teams, or processes (Yousef, 2017). Both expected and unexpected pressures (external or internal) can force organizations to take corrective measures, such as restructuring, strategies, policies, culture, or approaches, to support organization sustainability and attain competitive advantage (Shah et al., 2017). Successful organizational change requires the acceptance of employees (Fairbrother & Warn, 2003). Employees’ attitudes are influenced by understanding the changing conditions as well as the level of impact on them (Cullen et al., 2014).

Most employees do not have enough experience and motivation to feel satisfied with organizational change, which is a reason for resistance to change. Organizations must develop effective change strategies (Bateh, et al., 2013). Researchers agree that communication is the most effective strategy to enhance employee acceptance, which is necessary as employees play a critical role in organizational change (Petrou et al., 2018). The quality of communication during organizational change depends on an organization’s ability to provide timely, useful, and relevant information (Petrou et al., 2018; Wanberg & Banas, 2000). The changes are more effective when the three levels within an organization (i.e., individual, group, and organizational) work together (Heckelman, 2017). In most cases, the failure of an organization in adopting a new strategy to deal with the internal and external challenging environments is blamed on the cumulative history of the organization, and this problem must be dealt with (Oliver, 1997). The newly created structures must support the new strategies; however, structures do not change immediately or easily, and require trainings, budgets, transparency performance criteria, performance measures, a culture of engagement, creativity, and incentives (Weil, 2018). New structures usually lead to employee resistance to change and job stress (Ernst Kossek, et al., 2010).
Organizational change comprises a series of efforts to change the structure, goals, technology, and processes of the organization (Yousef, 2017; Yousef, 2000; Carnall, 1986). It is defined as the search for new opportunities for improvement in order to achieve an effective change within an organization (Brown, et al., 2016). Organizational change has also been defined as a new method of operating the organization (Pardo-del-Val, et al., 2012). Golembiewski (1995) defines organizational change as a process of transition between the current situation and the future targeted situation. It is also a normal daily process of working life, in the form of processes such as mergers, relocations, and salary freezes (Castillo, et al., 2018).

Due to these varying definitions, organizational change has been measured using different dimensions. Shinet al. (2012) measured organizational change by three dimensions, these are: behavioral resistance to change, behavioral support for change, and creative behavior for change. However, Dahl (2011) used the following six dimensions to measure organizational change: enhanced skills/knowledge, increased effectiveness, adaptation to turbulent environments, and increased cooperation and coordination within the organization. In this paper, the variables of organizational change were measured using the process of change, readiness for change, and climate of change dimensions, which have previously been used by Khan et al. (2018); Claiborne et al., (2013); Bouckenooghe (2012); and Bouckenooghe et al.,(2009). These dimensions have been widely utilized and found to be valid and reliable for human research (Khan et al., 2018; Claiborne et al., 2013). Moreover, they have been proven to be theoretically and empirically sound in terms of the shortcomings found in the dimensions used in other studies (Bouckenooghe et al., 2009). The first dimension used in this study is the process of change, which is defined by Claiborne et al. (2013) as “the steps taken to implement the change.” This dimension is comprising how a particular change is handled in a project, and is mainly linked to readiness for change (Holt, et al., 2007). It has also been defined as the reactions to organizational change (Khan et al., 2018). Leadership is significantly related to the process of change dimension due to its role of providing rewards and efficiently communicating with employees (Khan et al., 2018). According to Bouckenooghe et al. (2009), process of change is related to four elements: the quality of change communication, participation, attitudes of top management toward the change, and support of supervisors. The second dimension is readiness for change, which implies readiness in terms of the expressions of employees’ physical, psychological, and emotional abilities to perform their job roles (Almomani, 2018). Change readiness is defined as the "gauge of how prepared and able organizational members are for change initiatives" (Appelbaum, 2018).
Organizational readiness for change is a new concept, which is defined as the extent to which employees will continue to evolve and engage in the change, such as through participation and support (Von et al., 2018). According to Claiborne et al. (2013), the more readiness for change an organization demonstrates with respect to external environmental pressures, size of the organization, leadership commitments, financial resources, worker attributes, and community attitude toward change, the more there are positive outcomes, such as decreased job stress, increased job satisfaction, and less resistance to change and conflict. Readiness for change requires considerable resources and motivations (Kelly et al., 2018). According to Von et al. (2018), the readiness for change is measured using four dimensions: personal valence (i.e., employees’ belief that the change will be personally beneficial), managerial support (i.e., employees’ perception that managers are supportive of the change), appropriateness (i.e., employees’ perception that the change is appropriate for the organization) and self-efficacy (i.e., employees’ perception that they possess the skills and competencies to successfully cope with change). Values and beliefs are the framework of an organization’s culture (Schneider et al., 1996). An understanding of change readiness helps make the right choices regarding the planning, strategies, and tactics required to enhance employee enthusiasm for change (Shah et al., 2017). Effective readiness for change is an immense challenge because of the large human involvement (Broni, 2017).

The last dimension is the climate of change, which has been defined by Tierney (1999) as employees’ expectation to be supported and rewarded by the organization during change. It is a global problem that needs cooperation (Field et al., 2014). Climate of change comprises three elements: trust in leadership, politicking, and cohesion (Bouckenooghe et al., 2009). According to Von et al. (2018), it comprises eight dimensions, namely, support, recognition, autonomy, work pressure, fairness, trust, innovation, and cohesion. Hatjidis and Parker (2018) reported that a strong climate for change leads to more opportunities for participation and lower levels of resistance to change. The adoption of change depends on the variables of the organizational climate (Von et al., 2018).

2.2 Job Satisfaction

Job satisfaction is considered an interesting topic to study from organizations’ as well as researchers’ viewpoints (Parvin & Kabir, 2011; Obeidat, et al., 2017). It was first investigated and highlighted by researchers in 1918 (Suifan & Abdallah, 2017; Abuhashesh et al., 2019b). According to -Nkrumah and Atinga (2013), job satisfaction affects employees’ behavior and work outcomes. The work of several researchers has indicated that job satisfaction has a massive effect on attitudes and work outcomes of employees (Suifan, et al., 2017). Previous researchers have agreed that employee satisfaction is one of the most important factors for employees’ productivity and loyalty (Amin et al., 2017). Satisfied and positive
employees result in customer satisfaction, which ultimately leads to high financial performance (Sarraf, 2018). Although researchers have proposed several definitions and ideas for job satisfaction, they have not been able to agree on a single definition because of the various complex factors affecting it (Abdallah et al., 2016). Job satisfaction and dissatisfaction were defined by Locke (1969) as "a function of the perceived relationship between what one wants from one's job and what one perceives it as offering or entailing". According to Ahmad et al. (2018), job satisfaction is a measure of the degree to which the employees have a positive or negative feeling toward the internal or external aspects of their jobs. Job satisfaction is a combination of evaluative emotions that employees feel regarding the work environment (Sarraf, 2018). Al-Tit and Suifan (2015) reported that job satisfaction is the level of employees' happiness with their job. Schermerhorn et al. (2012) defined job satisfaction as the "attitude reflecting a person’s feelings toward his or her job or job setting at particular point in time." According to Spector (1997), job satisfaction is the feeling that determines whether to remain in an organization or seek another job.

Measuring job satisfaction is a constant challenge for both researchers and managers (Masa‘deh, 2016; Hajir et al., 2015). Haque et al. (2012) classified job satisfaction into the dimensions of personal factors and organizational factors. The first dimension includes religion, gender, age, and race, whereas organizational factors include leadership, organizational change, and technology innovation. Al-Abdullat and Dababneh (2018) measured job satisfaction through several dimensions: overall life satisfaction, self-esteem, stress, physical and mental illness, productivity and performance, absenteeism, turnover, and even counterproductive behavior. Lu et al. (2017) measured job satisfaction using four dimensions: superiors, promotion, current job, and remuneration. Yousef (2017) measured job satisfaction using six dimensions (job security, coworkers, promotion, working conditions, pay, and supervision). Job satisfaction was examined by Hayes et al. (2015) using the following six dimensions (pay, autonomy, task requirements, organizational policies, interaction, and professional status). Claiborne et al. (2013) was measured job satisfaction by using dimensions (promotional opportunities, supervision, pay, fringe benefit, communication, nature of work, co-workers, operating procedures, rewards, and overall satisfaction). Herzberg (1966) considered intrinsic job satisfaction as motivating factor. The existence of these factors results in satisfied employees (Baylor, 2010). Intrinsic job satisfaction refers to employees’ feelings toward the nature of the job tasks, for example, achievement and control, success, ability to develop skills, and sense of autonomy (Tsounis & Sarafis, 2018; Abdallah et al., 2016). Intrinsic motivation appears from within the employees, and is described as the willingness to do more in order to fulfill tasks (Almamani, 2018). According
to Chung and Kowalski (2012), intrinsic factors are related to the job itself, such as personal activities, attitudes toward change, moral values, authority, responsibility, personal feelings of freedom and independence, personal social status, creativity, recognition, and success abilities (Tarcan, 2017). The second dimension is extrinsic job satisfaction. According to Herzberg (1966), the existence of extrinsic job satisfaction factors (or hygiene factors), may not necessarily mean that employees are satisfied; however, their absence might be dissatisfying for employees (Baylor, 2010). Extrinsic job satisfaction refers to employees' feelings toward various aspects of the work situation that are not related to the work itself; for example, quality of the job environment, promotion opportunities, relationships with colleagues, and salary (Tsounis & Sarafis, 2018). According to Abdallah et al. (2016), these factors are related to employees' feelings regarding the job conditions and situations. Furthermore, Masa’deh (2016) stated that these factors are related to aspects of the job environment, such as working conditions, coworkers, and pay. Extrinsic job satisfaction includes elements related to insurance coverage, company policies and practices, opportunities for occupational progression, wages, human relations and leadership/management techniques, colleagues, and working conditions (Tarcan, 2017).

2.3 Organizational Change and Job Satisfaction
Previous research has reported that job satisfaction has an impact on organizational change, and plays an important role in the acceptance of organizational change, as satisfied employees are more likely to willingly accept the change (Yousef, 2017; Yousef, 2000; Abuhashesh, et al., 2019 c). Haque et al. (2012) indicated that employees respond positively to organizational change by enhancing their satisfaction and enthusiasm for work, and providing good services. However, according to Castillo et al. (2018), managers sometimes fail to consider the impact of organizational change on employee satisfaction, morale, and productivity, in the form of desertion, absenteeism, and resistance to change. Lack of trust, self-interest, a difference in the assessment of misunderstandings, and low tolerance for change are the main reasons for resistance to organizational change (Castillo et al., 2018). Hatjidis and Parker (2018) studied the influences of job satisfaction and commitment on the association between relationship quality and an individual's behavioral intention toward organizational change in the hospitality industry. They found that the relationship quality has a positive association with an individual's behavioral intention toward change; in addition, organizational commitment and job satisfaction play a mediating role in the relationship between relationship quality and behavioral intentions toward change. Shah et al. (2017) studied the effects of organizational loyalty, salary, job promotion, and organizational identity on job satisfaction, while suggesting and mediating employee readiness for organizational change; they identified these intrinsic and extrinsic factors that
enable organizational change. Yousef (2017) studied the relationships between job satisfaction, organizational commitment, and attitudes toward organizational change in the local government, and found that employees are highly satisfied with supervisors and coworkers, and only a little satisfied with work conditions and job security; however, they had lower satisfaction with pay and promotion (Aldaas, et al., 2019). Adigwe and Oriola (2015) studied the relationship between organizational change and job satisfaction, and found that organizational change affects job satisfaction, but the satisfaction levels of employees depend on the effectiveness of organizational change. Cullen et al. (2014) investigated employees’ adaptability and perceptions of organizational change-related uncertainty, and the mediating role of perceived organizational support as an explanation for employees’ job satisfaction and performance. Their findings reveal that perceived organizational support explains the impact of perceived organizational change-related uncertainty and individual differences in adaptability on job satisfaction. Claiborne et al. (2013) investigated the role of climate and job satisfaction in employees’ perception of readiness for change. According to their findings, not all climates or satisfaction factors are recognized equally while supporting change. Schouteten and Vleuten (2013) investigated the impact of organizational change on job satisfaction in a Dutch voluntary association employing paid and voluntary workers; their findings revealed that organizational changes have a negative impact on voluntary and paid employees’ job satisfaction. Judge et al. (1999) examined the managerial responses to organizational change, and found that organizational change was related to extrinsic (job performance, salary, plateauing, job stress level) and intrinsic (job satisfaction, organizational commitment) factors.

On the basis of previous studies, this research developed the following hypotheses:

**H01: There is no statistically significant impact of organizational change on job satisfaction.**

### 3. Research Methodology

The methodology of the research, including the research model, is described alongside the hypotheses. Furthermore, it presents the research data, including the research population and sample, as well as data analysis. The research model was built on the basis of a previous literature review and is presented in organizational change as the independent variable and job satisfaction is the dependent variable. The participants in this research were taken from three telecommunication companies in Jordan (Zain, Orange, Umnieh). A convenience sample was selected from among the employees. The total number of employees working at these companies has crossed 3,636. The represented sample size includes 348 employees of telecommunication companies in Jordan.
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To this end, 500 questionnaires were distributed and 442 were returned. Of these, 20 were considered invalid and disregarded; the data of the remaining 422 questionnaires was used, with a response rate of 84%.

3.1 Hypotheses Testing
The purpose of testing the hypotheses is to assess the rejection of the null hypothesis and the acceptance of the alternative hypothesis; when the significance level (p-value) is below 0.05, the null hypothesis (H0) will be rejected and the alternative (H1) will be accepted, which indicates a positive impact. On the other hand, the null hypothesis is accepted when the p-value is higher than 0.05 (Sekaran & Bogie, 2016). Simple linear regression was used to test the relationship between the independent and dependent variables.

The Pearson correlation estimates the linear relationship between two variables (Thirumalai, et al., 2017). The values of the Pearson correlation coefficient, r, range from +1 to -1. A value higher than 0 indicates a positive relationship (the value of one variable increases, while the other value also increases). A value below 0 indicates a negative relationship (the value of one variable increases, while the other decreases). To test the research hypotheses, the approach proposed by Baron and Kenny (1986) was applied. This approach consists of four steps. In the first step, the independent variable (organizational change) was regressed to the dependent variable (job satisfaction) and beta coefficient (0.465***), which was positive and significant; therefore, hypothesis H01 was rejected.

4. Discussion and Conclusions
This research investigates the relationship between organizational change and job satisfaction in telecommunication companies in Jordan. It is hypothesized that organizational change impacts job satisfaction. The findings demonstrate the rejection of the hypotheses.

The first finding showed that 21.6% of the variation in organizational change accounted for job satisfaction; the result indicates a significant and positive relationship between organizational change and job satisfaction. Studies have shown that some organizational change factors have a positive impact on job satisfaction, while others have a negative impact (Yousef, 2017; Shah, et al., 2017). The level of satisfaction of employees depends on the effectiveness of change in the organization (Adigwe & Oriola, 2015).

Telecommunication companies have grown to become one of largest and leading businesses in Jordan (Al-Weshah, et al., 2018; Abuhashesh, et al., 2019d). Organizations have begun providing comfortable and appropriate work environments that decrease employees’ negative emotions and increase their
job satisfaction levels, in order to improve their performances, especially during organizational change processes (Brewer, et al., 2003; DeTienne, et al., 2012; Eslami & Gharakhani, 2012; Lee & Chelladurai, 2018; Sarraf, 2018). The managements must take into consideration employees’ emotional readiness for change by improving the quality of the change processes, such as through support, participation, and communication (before, during, and after the change process), in addition to taking the necessary feedback for continuous improvement and corrective actions (Claiborne, et al., 2013; Abualoush, et al., 2018a,b). Furthermore, the management must enhance the climate of change by improving the trust in leadership, fairness among employees, give incentives, and make efficient use of the resources available (Bouckenooghe, et al., 2009; Parvin & Kabir, 2011; Al-Syaidh, et al., 2016; Al-dalahmeh, et al., 2018; Caves, 2018).

4.1 Practical Implications

One of the most important factors that lead to the success of organizational change is the human resources. Satisfied employees who are treated well are a great asset for the success of an organization. Telecommunication companies face numerous challenges in both internal and external environments; one of the most important internal challenges is to improve job satisfaction and reduce the stress of employees, which leads to improved performance of the organization.

From the findings of this research, managers and leaders can develop several ideas for promoting job satisfaction in telecommunication companies, and guiding companies to improve organizational change, by focusing on the quality of leadership support and communication, understanding employees’ needs during change along with their expectations and involvement, which enhances job satisfaction.

Telecommunication is one of the most rapidly growing and highly competitive sectors. Thus, it is possible that telecommunication company employees feel that adopting continuous organizational change strategy is necessary to ensure sustainability in the market, and avoid the risk of losing their jobs. Previous research suggests that any change is stressful, and stress decreases satisfaction; therefore, organizations must provide more attention to stress factors while employing all dimensions of stress in the workplace and work on reducing the negative effects of stress on job satisfaction during organizational change. This can be done by providing a comfortable environment, supporting employee creativity and innovation, training, enhancing interaction between the leadership and staff, and expanding the involvement and contribution of employees. Organizations must spread the culture of quality among employees in order to
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develop their efficiency. This will ultimately reflect positively on the performance of the organization.

4.2 Limitations
First, the use of convenience sampling and studying only employees of the telecommunications sector in Jordan limits the generalizability of the results. Second, there seemed to be hesitation and resistance from companies to respond and collaborate by sharing their data. Additionally, a set of questionnaires returned by employees were excluded because of their lack of appropriateness.

4.3 Recommendations for Future Research
The present study’s findings indicate a significant positive relationship between organizational change and job satisfaction. In addition, telecommunication companies in Jordan should focus on reducing employees’ job stress during organizational change in order to raise their job satisfaction. Also, companies should explain the reason behind changes in order to gain high acceptance rates among employees.

The main contribution of this research is in examining the relationship between organizational change and job satisfaction. This research recommends other researchers to investigate the impact of organizational change on job satisfaction using different dimensions and sectors, which helps in determining strategies to improve employees’ job satisfaction.
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